

#### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Wood for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, Robert G. Hartman, on May 6, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney traversed the Office's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a). For instance, Applicant's attorney respectfully submitted that the cited reference ("RapidInstall") fails to disclose or suggest each of independent claims 1, 9, and 16. Nevertheless, for the sole purpose of expediting allowance and without conceding the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant's attorney also proposed an amendment to independent claim 1. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to state that if Applicant were to make such amendments to independent claim 1, then the claim would have a very good chance of overcoming the outstanding rejection. However, the Examiner also stated that he would need to further review the RapidInstall reference and may need to update his search.

Applicant sincerely thanks the Examiner for his guidance and time given during the interview. Applicant herein amends independent claims 1, 9 and 16 as discussed during the interview.